27

28

J. Malcolm DeVoy (Nevada Bar No. 11950)
Erica A. Bobak (Nevada Bar No. 13828)
DeVoy Law P.C.
2575 Montessouri Street, Suite 201
Las Vegas, NV 89117
t: 702-706-3051
f: 702-977-9359
ecf@devoylaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant, Telecom Management Group, Inc., d/b/a Unitel

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

STEVEN R. DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

UNITEL VOICE, LLC d/b/a Telecom Management Group, Inc.; L3

COMMUNICATIONS, LLC; SOMOS, INC. & DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-cv-00673-JCM-PAL

JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

(FIRST REQUEST)

## JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (FIRST REQUEST)

Plaintiff Steven R. Davis, *pro se* ("Davis," or the "Plaintiff"), and Telecom Management Group, Inc., an Illinois corporation doing business as Unitel ("TMGI," or the "Defendant"), through its undersigned counsel of record, agree that upon the Court's approval, TMGI's response to Plaintiff's Complaint, currently due on August 9, 2018, shall be due two weeks from that date, on August 23, 2018, for the reasons set forth below:

JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ORDER - 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TMGI reserves the right to address the name under which it appears in the caption in the future. <sup>2</sup> ECF No. 4

2

3

5

6

7

8

- 1. Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Complaint presently is due on August 9, 2018.4
- 2. Defendant only just recently retained counsel to respond to this action, and Defendant's counsel has requested additional time from Plaintiff to become familiar with the matter before the Court prior to responding to Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 3. Following an e-mail exchange on August 8, 2018, Plaintiff agreed to allow Defendant a two-week extension of time to respond to the Complaint.
- 4. Accordingly, Plaintiff and Defendant stipulate and agree that, upon the Court's approval, Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Complaint shall be due on August 23, 2018.
- 5. The time for Defendant to answer or respond to Plaintiff's Complaint has not yet elapsed, and this stipulation is supported by good cause as demonstrated in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 6. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, this is Plaintiff and Defendant's first request for an extension of this deadline; the parties seek this extension in good faith and not for the purposes of delay. Further granting this brief two-week extension shall not prejudice any party to this action.

20

25

26

<sup>3</sup> See ECF No. 15 at 4 27

<sup>4</sup> *Id*. 28

,			
1	1	Dated this 9th day of August, 2018, by the undersigned Plaintiff and counsel for	
	2	Defendant:	
-	3		
	4	SPEVEN R DAVIS	DEVOY LAW P.C.
/	5		P. //III
	6	Steven R. Dayis	By: /s/ J. Matcolm DeVoy  J. Malcolm DeVoy
5-3057	7	Plaintiff, Pro Se	Erica A. Bobak
10/20	/8	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	Attorneys for Defendant,
*	9		Telecom Management Group, Inc., an Illinois corporation d/b/a Unitel
V 891	10		
GAS, N	11		
V 2575 MONTESSOUI	12		OSED] ORDER
	13		cause appearing, the parties' foregoing stipulation is
0	14	<b>GRANTED</b> , and Defendant's response to P	laintiff's Complaint shall be due on August 23, 2018.
	15	Augu	upt 10
evoy Law	16	Dated: Augu	<u>ust 10</u> , 2018.
P	17		
	18		
	19	j.	U.S. Magistrate Judge
	20		
	21		
*	22		
,	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
	70.	JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIM	E TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND PROPOSED ORDER - 3